1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:14-cr-357-APG-VCF 5 Plaintiff, 6 v. 7 BRIAN WRIGHT and DANIELLE **JURISDICTION** PERREIRA, 8 Defendants. (Dkt. ##62, 68) 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 the proper legal analysis and factual basis for the decision. Therefore, 18 19 is ACCEPTED, and Wright's motion to dismiss (Dkt. #62) is DENIED. 20 Dated: August 4, 2015. 21 22 ANDREW P. GORDON 23 24 25 26 27 28

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT & RECOMMENDATION DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS FOR DEFECT IN

Defendant Brian Wright filed a motion to dismiss the government's Superseding Indictment, arguing the court lacks jurisdiction over the charged offenses. (Dkt. #62.) Magistrate Judge Ferenbach issued a Report & Recommendation recommending denial of the motion (Dkt. #68.) No objection has been filed. Nevertheless, I have conducted a de novo review of the Report & Recommendation and related papers. Judge Ferenbach's Report & Recommendation sets forth

IT IS ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's Report & Recommendation (Dkt. #68)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE